

**ALLOW LTD REPRESENTATIONS UPON
ACCOMPANIED SITE VISIT (ASI) Draft Itinerary**

1. The area of land and property belonging to Allow Ltd was not inspected at the Unaccompanied Site Inspection (USI) on 16 June 2020.
2. Annex F sets out that the itinerary should include all relevant sites and locations referred to in the Relevant Representations and any other sites and locations at which the Applicant has predicted likely significant environmental effects. The proposed route does not adequately meet the requirements set out in Annex F and would request that the itinerary is amended to include an inspection of the property belonging to Allow Ltd.
3. At point 2 on the draft itinerary there is an optional pedestrian route to walk from Dark Lane to Lower Lodge and into Hilton Park. We would like to offer an adjustment for this to be a vehicular route into Hilton Park at Lower Lodge where Allow will open the security gate so that the ExA can proceed along the tracks within the estate to the southern end of Lower Pool, the Middle Pool and the southern side of Hilton Hall, either on foot or in their vehicle.
4. The party is also invited to inspect the land to the west side of Dark Lane (plot 5/2) when they are walking the length of Dark Lane.
5. At point 3 in the draft itinerary, after the party has undertaken their lunch break, we would request that the party walk outside the grounds of Hilton Hall around the residential properties known as The Shrubbery, the Gardeners cottage and the Bungalow in order to appreciate the close proximity of the residences to the scheme and the DCO boundary. An ancient walkway runs through the woodland called The Shrubbery, from the garden of the house known as The Shrubbery, through the woods included within the DCO and to Lower Pool, where the bridge leads to the eastern side of Lower Pool and it is clear to see the route of the proposed road and the impact upon the locality.
6. We have been asked by the ExA to comment upon the potential for Accompanied site visits not being possible due to Covid restrictions. It would be our preference that another USI takes place, rather than a virtual site visit as this would allow the ExA to fully visualise the areas concerned which cannot always be achieved through alternative virtual representations.
7. If a further USI is also not permitted then we would request that we are able to provide further information to the ExA by alternative virtual means in order to achieve a good representation of the impact of the scheme upon my client's property.
8. Allow are more than willing to offer vehicular access through their land if that would assist further in this regard.